16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NICHOLAS MARCHI Carney & Marchi, P.S. 7502 West Deschutes Place Kennewick WA 99336 (509) 545-1055 Attorneys for Defendant

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 4:19-CR-6063-SMJ-2

Plaintiff, MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE AND RESET

vs. DEADLINES

NICHOLAS SEAN CARTER,
Note: June 19, 2020
Without Argument

Defendant Judge Mendoza at Richland

COMES now the Defendant, by and through his attorneys and requests this Court grant the defendant's Motion to Continue Pre-Trial Date and Trial Date and re-set deadlines. This matter currently is scheduled for trial on July 27, 2020. The defendant would request a trial date set at least 60 days, to September 28, 2020 to allow his counsel to review recently received discovery and interview witnesses and prepare for trial and to address issues raised by the COVID-19 virus facing the community<sup>1</sup>.

Mr. Carter is aware of this request and will submit a signed Speedy Trial Waiver with this motion. The government does not oppose this request. Co-defendant's counsel has stated that the co-defendant opposes to this request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As Counsel stated at the Suppression Hearing on June 3 when the Court continued 20-CR-00005-SMJ to September 28, 2020, counsel has three other matters scheduled on that date.

#### **ARGUMENT**

The Supreme Court has stated that "broad discretion must be granted trial courts on matters of continuances." *Morris v. Slappy*, 461 U.S. 1, 11 (1983). When the defendant's sixth amendment right to counsel is implicated a court must balance several factors to determine if the denial [of a continuance] was "fair and reasonable." Among the factors are: whether the continuance would inconvenience witnesses, the court, counsel, or the parties; whether other continuances have been granted; whether legitimate reasons exist for the delay; whether the delay is the defendant's fault; and whether a denial would prejudice the defendant. *United States v. Studley*, 783 F.2d 934, 938 (9th Cir. 1986) (quoting *United States v. Leavitt*, 608 F.2d 1290, 1293 (9th Cir. 1979) (per curiam))

The Nation is facing a national crisis due to the COVID-19 virus. This has profound effects on pending trials before this Court as well as other courts. The federal courthouse in Richland has been closed until June 30, 2020. Additional time is also necessary to complete counsel's investigation in this matter and further review the discovery with Mr. Carter. A denial of this request to continue would severely prejudice the defendant and counsel would not be prepared for trial on July 27, 2020. Clearly a continuance is warranted, and the granting of the request would be proper.

### CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, it is respectfully request that the defendant's Motion to Continue the Trial Date and Pre-Trial be continued. It is further requested that the Pre-Trial Motions Deadline be re-set.

Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June 2020.

s/ Nicholas Marchi NICHOLAS MARCHI Carney & Marchi, P.S.

## Attorneys for Defendant

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the Motion to Continue PTC and Trial Date was e-mailed via ECF /mailed first class, postage prepaid on 6/14/2020, to S. Van Marter, Assistant United States Attorney, 402 E. Yakima, Ave., Suite 210, Yakima, WA 98901 or P.O. Box 1494 Spokane, WA 99210 and to A. Pechtel, attorney for Co-defendant, Mr. Pacheco-Robles.

s/*Nicholas Marchi*CARNEY & MARCHI, P.S.
Attorneys for Defendant